

## Improper integrals: dominant terms decide

When we are dealing with an improper integral of the form  $\int_a^\infty \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$ , our perspective is that the ‘dominant’ terms in the numerator and denominator determine whether or not the integral converges. By ‘dominant’ we mean that if  $f(x) = a_1(x) + a_2(x) + \dots + a_n(x)$ , then the term  $a_1(x)$  dominates if  $\frac{a_i(x)}{a_1(x)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , for every other term  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Then if  $a_1(x)$  and  $b_1(x)$  are the dominant terms of the top and bottom, our result is that

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx \text{ converges precisely when } \int_a^\infty \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} dx \text{ converges.}$$

One way to justify this in any particular instance is to note that since  $\frac{a_i(x)}{a_1(x)} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{b_i(x)}{b_1(x)} \rightarrow 0$  for every  $i \geq 2$ , when we write

$$f(x) = a_1(x) + a_2(x) + \dots + a_n(x) = a_1(x) \left[ 1 + \frac{a_2(x)}{a_1(x)} + \dots + \frac{a_n(x)}{a_1(x)} \right] = a_1(x)A(x) \text{ and}$$

$$g(x) = b_1(x) + a_2(x) + \dots + b_m(x) = b_1(x) \left[ 1 + \frac{b_2(x)}{b_1(x)} + \dots + \frac{b_m(x)}{b_1(x)} \right] = b_1(x)B(x)$$

we have  $A(x) \rightarrow 1$  and  $B(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  (since, other than the 1 in each sum, every other term goes to 0). This means that eventually  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  are both close to 1, so, eventually, say,  $0.8 < A(x) < 1.2$  and  $0.8 < B(x) < 1.2$ . But then, eventually,

$$0.8a_1(x) < a_1(x)A(x) = f(x) = a_1(x)A(x) < 1.2a_1(x) \text{ and}$$

$$0.8b_1(x) < b_1(x)B(x) = g(x) = b_1(x)B(x) < 1.2b_1(x),$$

[We are supposing here that the dominant terms  $a_1(x), b_1(x)$  are positive; if they are not, pull a minus sign out of the entire integral first! Otherwise, the inequalities go the opposite directions....]

and so, eventually,

$$\frac{2}{3} \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} = \frac{0.8a_1(x)}{1.2b_1(x)} < \frac{f(x)}{1.2b_1(x)} < \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < \frac{f(x)}{0.8b_1(x)} < \frac{1.2a_1(x)}{0.8b_1(x)} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)}.$$

$$\text{So } \frac{2}{3} \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} < \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < \frac{3}{2} \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)}.$$

This means that if  $\int_a^\infty \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} dx$  converges, then so does  $\frac{3}{2} \int_a^\infty \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} dx$ , and since (eventually)  $\int_N^\infty \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$  is smaller than  $\frac{3}{2} \int_N^\infty \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} dx$ ,  $\int_N^\infty \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$  converges, and so  $\int_a^\infty \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$  converges.

On the other hand, if  $\int_a^\infty \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} dx$  diverges, then so does  $\frac{2}{3} \int_a^\infty \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} dx$ , and since (eventually)  $\int_N^\infty \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$  is larger than  $\frac{2}{3} \int_N^\infty \frac{a_1(x)}{b_1(x)} dx$ ,  $\int_N^\infty \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$  diverges, and so  $\int_a^\infty \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$  diverges.

The same basic principle applies for most other situations. For example, dealing with something inside of a square (or other) root:

$\int_2^\infty \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{x^5 - 6x + 2}}$  converges, since  $\sqrt{x^5 - 6x + 2} = \sqrt{x^5} \sqrt{1 - \frac{6}{x^4} + \frac{2}{x^5}}$ , and  $\sqrt{1 - \frac{6}{x^4} + \frac{2}{x^5}} \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and so eventually  $\frac{x}{2\sqrt{x^5}} < \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^5 - 6x + 2}} < \frac{2x}{\sqrt{x^5}}$ , and since  $\int_a^\infty \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{x^5}} = \int_a^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{3/2}}$  converges, so does the original integral.

Integrals with a limit of integration equal to  $-\infty$  behave similarly; we could use a  $u$ -substitution  $u = -x$  to directly turn it into a integral in the form above.

Improper integrals where the function ‘blows up’ at an endpoint  $a$  (or inside of the interval) also have ‘dominant terms’, usually determined by the smallest powers of  $x - a$  in the numerator and denominator. [To make things less challenging for ourselves, we can use a  $u$ -substitution  $u = x - a$  (or  $u = a - x$ ) to move the vertical asymptote to  $u = 0$ .] The principle is basically the same:

For example, the function  $\frac{x^2 - x + 2}{\sqrt{x^3 + x^5}}$ , near  $x = 0$ , behaves like  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{x^3}} = \frac{2}{x^{3/2}}$  (whose integral diverges), since

$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 - x + 2}{\sqrt{x^3 + x^5}} = \frac{x^2 - x + 2}{\sqrt{x^3(1 + x^2)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^3}} \frac{x^2 - x + 2}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}}$$

and  $\frac{x^2 - x + 2}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} \rightarrow \frac{2}{1} = 2$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . So we can (eventually, i.e., near  $x = 0$ ) trap  $f(x)$  between two multiples of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^3}}$ , and so the convergence of the integral of  $f$  mirrors that of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^3}}$ .

Generally, if we pull out the smallest powers of numerator and denominator and set them aside, then what remains will converge to a non-zero, finite number as we approach the asymptote. This means that the function behaves like a constant multiple of the pieces we set aside, and so has the same convergence ‘profile’.