

Math 445 Number Theory

October 20, 2004

For Q odd and $(A, Q) = 1$, if $Q = q_1 \cdots q_k$ is the prime factorization of Q , then the *Jacobi symbol* $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right)$ is defined to be $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{A}{q_k}\right)$.

The use of the same notation as for Legendre symbols should cause no confusion, and is in fact deliberate; if Q is prime, then both symbols are equal to one another. Straight from the definition, some basic properties:

$$\text{If } (A, Q) = 1 = (B, Q) \text{ then } \left(\frac{AB}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{B}{Q}\right)$$

$$\text{If } (A, Q) = 1 = (A, Q') \text{ then } \left(\frac{A}{QQ'}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{A}{Q'}\right)$$

$$\text{If } (PP', QQ') = 1 \text{ then } \left(\frac{P'P^2}{Q'Q^2}\right) = \left(\frac{P'}{Q'}\right)$$

Warning! If Q is not prime, then $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = 1$ does *not* mean that $x^2 \equiv A \pmod{Q}$ has a solution.

For example, $\left(\frac{2}{9}\right) = \left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right)^2 = 1$, but $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$ has no solution, because $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ has none. But $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = -1$ does mean that $x^2 \equiv A \pmod{Q}$ has *no* solution, because $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = -1$ implies $\left(\frac{A}{q_i}\right) = -1$ for some prime factor of Q , so $x^2 \equiv A \pmod{q_i}$ has no solution.

Some less basic properties:

If Q is odd, then $\left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{Q-1}{2}}$: If $Q = q_1 \cdots q_k$ is the prime factorization, then $\left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{-1}{q_k}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{q_1-1}{2}} \cdots (-1)^{\frac{q_k-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i-1}{2}}$, and this equals $(-1)^{\frac{Q-1}{2}}$, provided, mod 2, $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i-1}{2} \equiv \frac{Q-1}{2} \equiv \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2}$. This in turn can be established by induction; the inductive step is $\frac{q_1 \cdots q_k q_{k+1} - 1}{2} = (q_{k+1} - 1) \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2} + \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2} + \frac{q_{k+1} - 1}{2} \equiv (q_{k+1} - 1) \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2} + \frac{q_{k+1} - 1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i - 1}{2} \equiv (q_{k+1} - 1) \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{q_i - 1}{2} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{q_i - 1}{2}$, since Q is odd, so $q_{k+1} - 1$ is even.

If Q is odd, then $\left(\frac{2}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{Q^2-1}{8}}$: as before, $\left(\frac{2}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{2}{q_k}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{q_1^2-1}{8}} \cdots (-1)^{\frac{q_k^2-1}{8}} = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i^2-1}{8}}$ and this equals $(-1)^{\frac{Q^2-1}{8}}$, provided, mod 2, $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i^2-1}{8} \equiv \frac{Q^2-1}{8} \equiv \frac{q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1}{8}$, i.e., mod 16, $\sum_{i=1}^k (q_i^2 - 1) \equiv \frac{Q^2-1}{8} \equiv \frac{q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1}{8}$. This can also be established by induction; the inductive step is $q_1^2 \cdots q_{k+1}^2 - 1 = q_{k+1}^2 q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1 = (q_{k+1}^2 - 1)(q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1) + (q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1) + (q_{k+1}^2 - 1) \equiv (q_{k+1}^2 - 1) + (q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1) \equiv (q_{k+1}^2 - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^k (q_i^2 - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (q_i^2 - 1)$, since both $(q_{k+1}^2 - 1)$ and $(q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1)$ are multiples of 8, so $(q_{k+1}^2 - 1)(q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1)$ is divisible by 64, hence by 16.

Finally, if P and Q are both odd, and $(P, Q) = 1$, then $\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{P-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{Q-1}{2}\right)}$: if

$$\begin{aligned} P = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ and } Q = q_1 \cdots q_s \text{ are their prime factorizations, then } \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right) &= \left(\frac{p_1 \cdots p_r}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{p_1 \cdots p_r}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{p_1}{Q}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_r}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{p_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{Q}{p_r}\right) = \\ &= \left[\left(\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_1}{q_s}\right)\right) \cdots \left(\left(\frac{p_r}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_r}{q_s}\right)\right)\right] \left[\left(\left(\frac{q_1}{p_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{q_s}{p_1}\right)\right) \cdots \left(\left(\frac{q_1}{p_r}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{q_s}{p_r}\right)\right)\right] = \\ &= \prod_{i,j} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_j}\right) \left(\frac{q_j}{p_i}\right) = \prod_{i,j} (-1)^{\frac{p_i-1}{2} \frac{q_j-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\sum_{i,j} \frac{p_i-1}{2} \frac{q_j-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i-1}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{q_j-1}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

This equals $(-1)^{\left(\frac{P-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{Q-1}{2}\right)}$, provided, mod 2, $\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i-1}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{q_j-1}{2}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{P-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{Q-1}{2}\right)$. But our first proof above established this, for each of the two parts, and so it is also true for their product!