Exact sequences: Most of the fundamental properties of homology groups are described in terms of exact sequences. A sequence of homomorphisms

$$\cdots \overset{f_{n+1}}{\to} A_n \overset{f_n}{\to} A_{n-1} \overset{f_{n-1}}{\to} a_{n-2} \to \cdots$$

of abelian groups is called *exact* if $\text{im}(f_n) = \ker(f_{n-1})$ for every n. In most cases, we get the most mileage out of an exact sequence when some of the groups are trivial;

$$0 \to A \overset{f}{\to} B \text{ is exact} \Leftrightarrow \ f \text{ is injective, and } A \overset{f}{\to} B \to 0 \text{ is exact} \Leftrightarrow \ f \text{ is surjective.}$$

An exact sequence $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ is called a *short exact sequence.*

The main tool we will use turns a family of short exact sequences of chain maps between three chain complexes into a single *long exact homology sequence.* Given chain complexes $\mathcal{A} = (A_n, \partial)$, $\mathcal{B} = (B_n, \partial')$, and $\mathcal{C} = (C_n, \partial'')$ and short exact sequences of chain maps (i.e., $\partial' i_n = i_n \partial$, $\partial'' j_n = j_n \partial'$)
$0 \to A_n \overset{i_n}{\to} B_n \overset{j_n}{\to} C_n \to 0$ there is a general result which provides us with a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \overset{\partial}{\to} H_n(\mathcal{A}) \overset{i_*}{\to} H_n(\mathcal{B}) \overset{j_*}{\to} H_n(\mathcal{C}) \overset{\partial}{\to} H_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \overset{i_*}{\to} \cdots$$

Most of the work is in defining the "boundary" map ∂. Given an element $[z] \in H_n(\mathcal{C})$, a representative $z \in C_n$ satisfies $\partial''(z) = 0$. But j_n is onto, so there is a $b \in B_n$ with $j_n(b) = z$, Then $i_{n-1}\partial'(b) = \partial'' j_n(b) = 0$, so $\partial'(b) \in \ker(j_{n-1} = \text{im}(a_{n-1})$. So there is an $a \in A_{n-1}$ with $i_{n-1}(a) = \partial'(b)$. But then $i_{n-2}\partial(a) = \partial' i_{n-1}(a) = \partial'\partial'(b) = 0$, so, since i_{n-2} is injective, $\partial a = 0$, so $a \in Z_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$, and so represents a homology class $[a] \in H_n(\mathcal{A})$. We define $\partial([z]) = [a]$.
To show that this is well-defined, we need to show that the class $[a]$ we end up with is independent of the choices made along the way. The choice of a was not really a choice;

i_{n-1} is, by assumption, injective. For b, if $j_n(b) = z = j_n(b')$, then $j_n(b - b') = 0$, so $b - b' = i_n(w)$ for some $w \in A_n$. Then $\partial' b' = \partial' b - \partial' i_n(w) = \partial' b - i_{n-1}\partial(w)$, so choosing $a' = a - \partial(w)$ we have $i_{n-1}(a') = \partial'(b')$. But then $[a'] = [a - \partial w] = [a] - [delw] = [a]$. Finally, there is actually a choice of z ; if $[z] = [z']$, then $z' = z + \partial'' w$ for some $w \in C_{n+1}$; but then choosing b', w' with $j_n(b') = z'$, $j_{n+1}(w') = w$, we have
$\partial'' w = \partial'' j_{n+1}(w') = j_n \partial'(w')$, so
$z' = z + \partial'' w = j_n(b + \partial' w')$, so we may choose $b' = b + \partial' w'$ (since the result is independent of this choice!), then since $\partial' b' = \partial' b$ everything continues the same.

Now to exactness! We need to show three (types of) equalities, which means six containments. Three (image contained in kernel) are shown basically by showing that compositions of two consecutive homomorphisms are trivial. $j_n i_n = 0$ immediately implies $j_* i_* = 0$. From the definition of ∂, $i_* \partial[z] = [i_n(a)] = [\partial'(b)] = 0$, and $\partial j_*[z] = \partial[j_n(z)] = [a]$, where $i_{n-1}(a) = \partial'(z) = 0$, so $a = 0$ (since i_{n-1} is injective), so $[a] = 0$.

For the opposite containments, if $j_*[z] = [j_n(z)] = 0$, then $j_n(z) = \partial'' w$ for some w. Since j_{n+1} is onto, $w = j_{n+1}(b)$ for some b. Then $j_n(z - \partial' b) = \partial'' w - \partial'' j_{n+1} b = 0$, so $z = \partial' b = i_n(a)$ for some a, so $i_*[a] = [z - \partial' b] = [z]$. So $\ker j_* \subseteq \mathrm{im} i_*$. If $i_*[z] = 0$, then $i_n(z) = \partial' w$ for some $w \in B_{n+1}$. Setting $c = j_{n+1}(w)$, then $\partial'' c = j_n \partial' w - i_n i_n(Z) = 0$, so $[c] \in h_{n+1}(\mathcal{C})$, and computing $\partial[c]$ we find that we can choose w for the first step and z for the second step, so $\partial[c] = [z]$. So $\ker j_n \subseteq \mathrm{im} \partial$. Finally, if $\partial[z] = 0$, then $z = j_n(b)$ for some b, and $\partial' b = i_{n-1}(a)$ with $[a] = 0$, i.e., $a = \partial w$ for some w. So $\partial' b = i_{n-1} \partial w = \partial' i_n w$ But then $\partial'(b - i_n w) = 0$, and

$j_n(b - i_n w) = z - 0 = z$, so $z \in \mathrm{im}(j_n)$, so $[z] \in \mathrm{im}(j_*)$. So $\ker \partial \subseteq \mathrm{im}(j_n)$. Which finishes the proof!

Now all we need are some new chain complexes!