

Homology on “small” chains = singular homology: The point to all of these calculations was that if $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$ is an open cover of X , then the inclusions $i_n : C_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X) \rightarrow C_n(X)$ induce isomorphisms on homology. This gives us two big theorems. First:

Mayer-Vietoris Sequence: If $X = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ is the union of two open sets, then the short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow C_n(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C_n(\mathcal{U}) \oplus C_n(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C_n^{\{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}\}}(X) \rightarrow 0$, together with the isomorphism above, give the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{(i_{\mathcal{U}*}, -i_{\mathcal{V}*})} H_n(\mathcal{U}) \oplus H_n(\mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{j_{\mathcal{U}*} + j_{\mathcal{V}*}} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

As with Seifert - van Kampen, we can replace open sets by sets A, B having nbhds \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} which def. retract to them, so that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$ def. retracts to $A \cap B$. E.g., subcomplexes $A, B \subseteq X$ of a CW-complex, with $A \cup B = X$ have homology satisfying a l.e.s.

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{(i_{A*}, -i_{B*})} H_n(A) \oplus H_n(B) \xrightarrow{j_{A*} + j_{B*}} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(A \cap B) \rightarrow \cdots$$

For reduced homology, we augment the chain complexes used above with the s.e.s. $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$, where the maps are $a \mapsto (a, -a)$ and $(a, b) \mapsto a + b$.

E.g., an n -sphere S^n is the union $S_+^n \cup S_-^n$ of its upper and lower hemispheres, each of which is contractible, and have intersection $S_+^n \cap S_-^n = S_0^{n-1}$ the equatorial $(n-1)$ -sphere. So Mayer-Vietoris gives us the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(S_+^n) \oplus \tilde{H}_k(S_-^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(S^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_0^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_+^n) \oplus \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_-^n) \rightarrow \cdots$$

, i.e.,

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(S^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_0^{n-1}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{i.e., } \tilde{H}_k(S^n) \cong \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_0^{n-1}) \text{ for every } k \text{ and } n.$$

So by induction,

$$\tilde{H}_k(S^n) \cong \tilde{H}_{k-n}(S^0) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } k=n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The second result that this machinery gives us is what is properly known as *excision*:

If $B \subseteq A \subseteq X$ and $\text{cl}_X(B) \subseteq \text{int}_X(A)$, then for every k the inclusion-induced map $H_k(X \setminus B, A \setminus B) \rightarrow H_k(X, A)$ is an isomorphism.

An equivalent formulation of this is that if $A, B \subseteq X$ and $\text{int}_X(A) \cup \text{int}_X(B) = X$, then the inclusion-induced map $H_k(B, A \cap B) \rightarrow H_k(X, A)$ is an isomorphism. [From first to second statement, set $B' = X \setminus B$.]

To prove the second statement, we know that the inclusions $C_n^{\{A,B\}}(X) \rightarrow C_n(X)$ induce isomorphisms on homology, as does $C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(A)$, so, by the five lemma, the induced map

$$C_n^{\{A,B\}}(X)/C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(X)/C_n(A) = C_n(X, A)$$

induces isomorphisms on homology. But the inclusion

$$C_n(B) \rightarrow C_n^{\{A,B\}}(X)$$

induces a map

$$C_n(B, A \cap B) = C_n(B)/C_n(A \cap B) \rightarrow C_n^{\{A,B\}}(X)/C_n(A)$$

which is an isomorphism of chain groups; a basis for $C_n^{\{A,B\}}(X)/C_n(A)$ consists of singular simplices which map into A or B , but don't map into A , i.e., of simplices mapping into B but not A , i.e., of simplices mapping into B but not $A \cap B$. But this is the same as the basis for $C_n(B, A \cap B)$!

With these tools, we can start making some real homology computations. First, we show that if $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq X$ is “nice enough”, then $H_n(X, A) \cong \tilde{H}_n(X/A)$. The definition of nice enough, like Seifert - van Kampen, is that A is closed and has an open neighborhood \mathcal{U} that deformation retracts to A (think: A is the subcomplex of a CW-complex X). Then using $\mathcal{U}, X \setminus A$ as a cover of X , and $\mathcal{U}/A, (X \setminus A)/A$ as a cover of X/A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_n(X/A) &\stackrel{(1)}{\cong} H_n(X/A, A/A) \stackrel{(2)}{\cong} H_n(X/A, \mathcal{U}/A) \stackrel{(3)}{\cong} H_n(X/A \setminus A/A, \mathcal{U}/A \setminus A/A) \stackrel{(4)}{\cong} H_n(X \setminus \\ &A, \mathcal{U} \setminus A) \stackrel{(5)}{\cong} H_n(X, A) \end{aligned}$$

Where (1),(2) follow from the LES for a pair, (3),(5) by excision, and (4) because the restriction of the quotient map $X \rightarrow X/A$ gives a homeomorphism of pairs.

Second, if X, Y are T_1 , $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ each have neighborhoods \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} which deformation retract to each point, then the one-point union $Z = X \vee Y = (X \amalg Y)/(x = y)$ has $\tilde{H}_n(Z) \cong \tilde{H}_n(X) \oplus \tilde{H}_n(Y)$; this follows from a similar sequence of isomorphisms. Setting $z =$ the image of $\{x, y\}$ in Z , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_n(Z) &\cong H_n(Z, z) \cong H_n(Z, \mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V}) \cong H_n(Z \setminus z, \mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V} \setminus z) \cong H_n([X \setminus x] \amalg [Y \setminus \\ &y], [\mathcal{U} \setminus x] \amalg [\mathcal{V} \setminus y]) \cong H_n(X \setminus x, \mathcal{U} \setminus x) \oplus H_n(Y \setminus y, \mathcal{V} \setminus y) \cong H_n(X, x) \oplus H_n(Y, y) \cong \\ &\tilde{H}_n(X) \oplus \tilde{H}_n(Y) \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we then have $\tilde{H}_n(\bigvee_{i=1}^k X_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{H}_n(X_i)$